

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/709,421		11/13/2000	Laurent Nivet	P06978US00/RFH 4291 - EXAMINER .	
881	7590	10/24/2003			
LARSON		OR, PLC AX STREET	PATEL, RAMESH B		
SUITE 900		IN STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314		2121	
			·	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Ramesh B. Patel 2121 The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2003.				1
## Examiner Art Unit		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Ramesh B. Patel The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2003.		09/709,421	NIVET, LAURENT	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2003.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2003.			<u> </u>	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2003.	• •	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of 20 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Se</u>	eptember 2003 .		
,-	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		election requirement.		
Application Papers	· <u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	<u> </u>		to builba Fuanciasa	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			Trod by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	<u> </u>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			, , , , ,	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	<u> </u>			
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 	15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* *		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		

Application/Control Number: 09/709,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Response to the Amendment

1. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-10 are presented for examination. Claims 5 and 7 have been canceled due to the amendment filed on 9/5/2003.

Specification

2. The objection to the specification is withdrawn due to the amendment.

Claim Objections

3. The objection to claims 3-4 and 6 is withdrawn due to the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The rejection to claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn due to the amendment.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/709,421

Art Unit: 2121

•t

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 10/251,987. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the inventive subject matter(s) directed toward a device (an actuator(s)) for actuating a seat element and a seat or set of sets which comprising an actuator which includes a transducer for supplying a measurement value of the transducer and calculating a corrected value of the position of the actuator, processing said corrected value and providing displacement of the seat element.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,421 Page 4

Art Unit: 2121

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-6673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri can be reached on 703-305-0282. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-9051 for regular communications and 703-305-3718 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Ramesh B. Patel
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2121

October 16, 2003